IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. REV. No.517 of 2005
NEM NARAYAN SAH
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
---

7. 29.7.2008. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Perused the impugned order.

The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that actually the offence was of under section 307 I.P.C. but the court below has released the opposite parties-accused under section 360 Cr.P.C. after holding the offence only under section 324 of the I.P.C.

Upon consideration of the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the judgment, it is quite clear that the learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to show any ground for interference in the impugned judgment.

Accordingly, this revision application is dismissed at the admission stage.

(Ghanshyam Prasad)

N.H./

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL